08-01-05

PTO/SB/21 (04-04)
96. OMB 0651-0031
IT OF COM\*\* Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL **FORM** (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

## **Application Number** 10/779,563 February 16, 2004 Filing Date First Named Inventor Yoshiro IWASA **Art Unit** 2826 **Examiner Name** Alexander O. Williams

Total Number of Page	s in This Submission		Attorney Docket	Number	93198-0	00666		
		ENCL	OSURES (check all	that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)				After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers				Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Petition				Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Propri	Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			☐ Status	Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer				Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)			ref	return postcard.		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement								
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit						
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Account No. 50-3213. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53								
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm or Harness, Dickey & Individual name		Pierce, P.L.C. Attorney Name G. Gregory Schivley			Reg. No. 27,382			
Signature		1. 1	high /	Illen	h			
Date								
	CI	RTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMIS	SSION/MA	ILING			
I hereby certify that the Service with sufficient Alexandria, VA 22313	nt postage as first c	lass mail i	n an envelope addr	the USPTO	or deposited Commissioner	d with the United States F r for Patents, P.O. Box	Postal 1450,	
Typed or printed name G. Gregory		hivley	//,	1	Express Mail Label No.	EV 570 164 645 US (7/29/2005	5)	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFP 1.5. The information is required to obtain overlain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 // S.C./122/and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form/to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Signature

Date

July 29, 2005



## THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/779,563

Filing Date:

February 16, 2004

Applicants:

Yoshiro IWASA

**Group Art Unit:** 

2826

Examiner:

Alexander O. Williams

Title:

LEAD FRAME, SEMICONDUCTOR CHIP PACKAGE, METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING SEMICONDUCTOR

DEVICE, AND SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

Attorney Docket:

9319S-000666

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND RESPONSE TO SPECIES ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Election Requirement mailed June 30, 2005, Applicant telephoned the Examiner to obtain clarification of the Election Requirement since the Examiner did not identify the alleged species in the Office Action. During the interview the Examiner indicated his belief that Figs. 1-9 depicted Species 1, Figs. 10-15 depicted Species 2 and that Figs. 16-17 depicted Species 3. With that understanding, Applicant provisionally elects Species 1, without traverse. Claims 1-18 are believed to read on the elected species. However, the Examiner is requested to reconsider the Species Election Requirement because all of the species are drawn to subject matter which are so related to each other that an undue burden would not be placed upon the Examiner by maintaining all species in a single application. (see, e. g. MPEP § 803)

Favorable consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Dated: July 29, 2005

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600 GGS/msm Respectfully submitted,

3. Gregory Schivley Reg. No. 27/382